



TEACHERS' CREATIVITY AND CRITICAL THINKING DISPOSITION AS PREDICTORS OF STUDENTS' ENGAGEMENT IN TECHNOLOGY AND LIVELIHOOD EDUCATION CLASSES

Hazel Dane R. Floresⁱ,
Maria Rina T. Quilestino

University of Mindanao,
Davao City, Philippines

Abstract:

This study examined whether teachers' creativity and teachers' critical thinking disposition predicted students' engagement in Technology and Livelihood Education (TLE) classes. Using a quantitative, descriptive–correlational approach, data were gathered from Senior High School learners enrolled in the Technical-Vocational strand in public schools. Stratified random sampling were used and a total of 300 respondents answers the standardized survey instruments to assess teachers' creativity, teachers' critical thinking disposition, and students' engagement in terms of cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions. Results showed that teachers' creativity and critical thinking disposition were generally high, and students' engagement in TLE classes was likewise high across engagement domains. Correlational analysis revealed significant positive relationships between teachers' creativity and students' engagement, and between teachers' critical thinking disposition and students' engagement, indicating that learners tended to be more engaged when teachers demonstrated stronger creative practices and reflective, open-minded thinking dispositions. Regression analysis further showed that teachers' creativity and critical thinking disposition significantly influenced student engagement, both independently and when combined, suggesting that these teacher-related factors explained variation how students participated, persisted, and invested effort in learning tasks. The study contributed to literature by examining the combined role of teachers' creativity and critical thinking disposition as predictors of student engagement within a TLE context and offered guidance for teacher development.

SDG Indicators: #4 Quality Education, #8 Decent Work and Economic Growth

Keywords: teachers' creativity, critical thinking disposition, student engagement, technical vocational education, Philippines

ⁱ Correspondence: email floresdanehazel@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Student engagement remains a central concern in education because it influences learning, achievement, well-being, persistence, and graduation. In secondary education, teachers face increasing difficulty in maintaining attention, participation, and sustained effort among learners. This concern is particularly evident in the Philippine setting, where teachers are expected to deliver learner-centered instruction while also managing paperwork, training requirements, and the continuous preparation of instructional materials.

Technology and Livelihood Education (TLE) is especially sensitive to engagement because it depends not only on students' understanding but also on their willingness to participate, complete outputs, and persist in performance-based tasks. In this context, teacher-related factors may help explain why learners become cognitively invested, emotionally connected, and behaviorally involved in classroom activities.

This study focused on two teacher-related predictors: teachers' creativity and teachers' critical thinking disposition. The study was grounded in the view that creative and reflective teaching practices support 21st-century learning by making instruction more meaningful, responsive, and engaging. The study therefore examined the levels of these variables, their relationships with students' engagement, and their predictive influence in TLE classes.

Critical and creative thinking are central to this study because they clarify the connection between teachers' creativity, their critical thinking disposition, and students' engagement in TLE. Bloom and Doss (2019) highlight that these higher-level skills are key goals in 21st-century education, as they shape how students approach problems, generate ideas, and make well-informed decisions. In the classroom, critical thinking and creativity go hand in hand: critical thinking helps assess and improve ideas, while creativity allows for fresh and meaningful responses, making learning more purposeful and engaging (Justyna, 2016). Research supports this claim, showing that critical and creative thinking are strongly linked to teachers' creative behaviors and classroom environments that actively involve students and encourage their participation (Siburian *et al.*, 2019; Wechsler *et al.*, 2018). These skills can be taught and developed through practice, enabling teachers to design strategies that sustain student participation and engagement (Anderson *et al.*, 2001). Research also shows that critical, reflective, and creative thinking are tied to better academic engagement and achievement (Akpur, 2020), and that collaborative learning environments help nurture these interconnected skills (Adriansen, 2019).

2. Teacher Creativity in Education

Teacher creativity refers to the ability to generate and apply novel, contextually appropriate instructional ideas that make learning engaging and meaningful. In the manuscript, creativity is manifested through planning the teaching-learning process,

responding to students' questions with elaborated answers, fostering enthusiasm for learning, selecting methods that fit the lesson and learner conditions, creating motivating instructional media, and interacting effectively with students. Prior studies cited in the manuscript link these creative practices with stronger participation, autonomy support, and sustained classroom engagement.

2.1 Critical Thinking Disposition of Teachers

Critical thinking disposition is presented as a teacher's tendency to approach classroom decisions with reflective judgment, openness to ideas, and careful evaluation of evidence. The manuscript emphasizes two dimensions: critical openness and reflective skepticism. Together, these dispositions shape how teachers question assumptions, interpret student responses, adjust strategies, and maintain intellectually stimulating learning environments.

2.2 Student Engagement

Student engagement is treated as a multidimensional construct composed of cognitive, affective, and behavioral engagement. The manuscript explains that engagement is not limited to observable participation; it also includes the learner's emotional connection to schoolwork and the cognitive effort invested in understanding and completing tasks. This framework is particularly relevant in TLE, where mastery depends on persistence and task completion.

2.3 Linkages Among the Variables

The reviewed literature suggests that creative teachers design more motivating and responsive learning experiences, while teachers with strong critical thinking dispositions create classrooms that value inquiry, justification, and reflection. These practices are expected to support deeper student involvement. The manuscript positions these two teacher-related factors as complementary predictors of engagement in TLE.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1 Research design

Quantitative descriptive-correlational design.

3.2 Locale and participants

300 Senior High School learners from the Technical-Vocational and Livelihood track in public secondary schools in Panabo City.

3.3 Sampling

Stratified random sampling from a population of 2,157 students using Slovin's formula.

3.4 Instruments

Standardized questionnaires measuring teachers' creativity, teachers' critical thinking disposition, and students' engagement.

3.5 Validity and reliability

Expert validation and reliability testing were conducted prior to the full study. Statistical treatment: mean, Pearson correlation, and multiple regression.

3.6 Ethics

Permissions, informed consent, confidentiality safeguards, and ethics review procedures were reported in the manuscript.

4. Results and Discussion

The findings may be presented in the following sequence to match the required outline and preserve logical flow.

Subsection	Key finding	Interpretive note
8.1 Teachers' Creativity	Overall mean = 3.77 (High)	Lowest indicator: creating media that fosters students' motivation (M = 3.52).
8.2 Critical Thinking Disposition	Overall mean = 3.53 (High)	Lower indicator: reflective skepticism (M = 3.49).
8.3 Students' Engagement	Overall mean = 3.58 (High)	Lowest domain: behavioral engagement (M = 3.44).
8.4 Creativity and Engagement	$r = .569$, $p = .000$	Higher teacher creativity is associated with stronger student engagement.
8.5 Critical Thinking and Engagement	$r = .542$, $p = .000$	Teachers' critical thinking disposition is positively associated with engagement.
8.6 Influence of Creativity	$R^2 = 0.352$	Planning, method fit, and interaction emerged as the strongest practical drivers.
8.7 Influence of Critical Thinking Disposition	$R^2 = 0.303$	Critical openness and reflective skepticism significantly predicted engagement.
8.8 Combined Influence	$R^2 = 0.404$	Teacher creativity and critical thinking disposition jointly explained a meaningful share of engagement variance.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

This section summarizes the study's findings, including descriptive data, inferential correlation and regression analysis, and a conclusion on whether the findings support the theoretical premises. Finally, the study's results inform its suggestions.

This study aimed to determine the level of teachers' creativity in TLE across six indicators, the level of teachers' critical thinking disposition in terms of critical openness and reflective scepticism, the level of students' engagement in TLE in terms of cognitive, affective, and behavioral engagement, the significant relationships among the variables, and whether teachers' creativity and critical thinking disposition significantly influence

students' engagement. Descriptive results showed high levels for the three major variables. Teachers' creativity was lowest in creating media that fosters students' motivation; teachers' critical thinking disposition was lowest in reflective scepticism; and students' engagement was lowest in behavioral engagement. Correlational findings established significant positive associations between teachers' creativity and students' engagement and between teachers' critical thinking disposition and students' engagement. Regression results further showed that teachers' creativity explains variance in engagement, while critical thinking disposition and the combined model also account for engagement variance, confirming that both teacher-related variables are meaningful predictors of students' engagement in TLE.

The findings support the study's anchor theory that critical and creative thinking explain the interrelationship among teachers' creativity, teachers' critical thinking disposition, and students' engagement. Bloom and Doss (2019) emphasized that these higher-order capacities are central goals of 21st-century education because they shape how learners analyze problems, generate ideas, and make informed decisions. In classroom practice, creativity and critical thinking operate together—creativity produces meaningful responses while critical thinking evaluates and improves ideas—making learning more purposeful and engaging (Justyna, 2016). The significant relationship in Table 4 between teachers' creativity and students' engagement, together with the significant relationship between teachers' critical thinking disposition and engagement, aligns with the view that classrooms shaped by creative and reflective teacher practices are more likely to cultivate students' cognitive investment, emotional connection, and active participation.

Overall, the results indicate that engagement in TLE is strengthened when teachers combine creative instructional practices with a critical thinking disposition that supports reflective judgment. However, the lowest-rated indicators point to clear improvement priorities: enhancing teachers' media creation for motivation, strengthening teachers' reflective scepticism, and improving students' behavioral engagement. Addressing these specific areas is expected to further increase students' active involvement and follow-through in TLE performance tasks, while sustaining the already high levels of cognitive and affective engagement.

The results suggest the following ways to improve instructors' creativity, critical thinking, and student engagement. For TLE teachers, the findings indicate the need to strengthen the lowest-rated areas by improving motivating instructional media, reflective scepticism, and behavioral engagement. Teachers may develop new media tools and incorporate at least one motivating instructional resource per lesson or unit—such as a short teacher-made demonstration video, QR-linked procedure guide, interactive task card, or step-by-step visual checklist—to increase students' willingness to participate and complete outputs, addressing the lowest creativity indicator on media for motivation. Teachers may also strengthen reflective scepticism by integrating a simple “Verify–Justify–Revise” routine during performance tasks, where learners verify procedures or sources, justify tool and material choices, and revise outputs based on

evidence and feedback. To improve the lowest engagement domain, behavioral engagement, teachers may implement structured participation systems such as rotating group roles, attendance and participation trackers, and checkpoint-based activities to build persistence and task completion.

For school heads and school staff, program support can be strengthened by implementing capacity-building and systems that directly address the weak indicators. Schools may run regular LAC/INSET sessions focused on motivational media production, where teachers co-produce, peer-review, and upload materials into a shared "TLE Media Bank" (demonstration videos, rubrics, project guides, interactive practice sets), reducing individual workload while strengthening the lowest creativity indicator. In addition, schools may institutionalize reflective practice through brief post-lesson reflection prompts (What evidence showed learning? What needs verification? What will I change next meeting?) supported by peer coaching cycles to explicitly develop reflective scepticism as a consistent professional habit.

For students, improving follow-through may be supported through self-monitoring routines that strengthen behavioral engagement. Learners may use a simple TLE task tracker (steps completed, issues encountered, next action, and deadline) alongside peer accountability checks to encourage persistence, responsibility, and completion of performance outputs. For education decision-makers and program implementers, teacher development initiatives may be prioritized around the predictors shown to relate significantly with engagement, particularly strengthening planning and method-fit strategies, reflective scepticism routines, and the creation of motivating instructional media suited to performance-based subjects like TLE. Finally, for future researchers, since the combined predictors explain the engagement variance, subsequent studies may incorporate additional variables (e.g., student motivation, peer influence, school support, and resources) and use mixed methods to clarify why behavioral engagement remains the lowest dimension and to deepen understanding of engagement development in TLE contexts.

Acknowledgement

The researcher wishes to express their heartfelt gratitude to all those who assisted them in whatever way, sharing their time and knowledge in order to make this research a reality. Whatever has been completed and the result of every effort, there is a great source of all work, struggling, guidance, and gracious favors without whom this task would have been impossible.

The researcher extends heartfelt gratitude to her adviser, Dr. Maria Rina T. Quilestino, for her unwavering support, patience, and expertise. Her guidance was invaluable throughout the research and writing of this thesis, and the researcher is deeply grateful for her mentorship.

Dr. Elleine Rose A. Oliva, Prof. Joa H. Jao, Dr. Joel Tan and Dr. Ester Jean U. Pelayo, who served as her panelists, for their suggestions, recommendations, and revisions that helped improve this study.

To the DepEd-Division of Panabo City, school heads, and student respondents for the stern support and encouragement,

To her family, relatives and friends who gave him moral and spiritual support from the very beginning up to the last part of this journey.

To the loving husband of the researcher Manuelito C. Akiatan, for the emotional support, financial aid, and spiritual support on every route the researcher follows.

Creative Commons License Statement

This research work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>. To view the complete legal code, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.en>. Under the terms of this license, members of the community may copy, distribute, and transmit the article, provided that proper, prominent, and unambiguous attribution is given to the authors, and the material is not used for commercial purposes or modified in any way. Reuse is only allowed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Author

Hazel Dane R. Flores is a graduate researcher in the Master of Arts in Education major in Technology and Livelihood Education at the University of Mindanao, Davao City, Philippines and currently a DepEd Teacher in Panabo City Division. Her research interests include teacher creativity, critical thinking, student engagement, and technical-vocational education.

References

- Adriansen, H. K. (2019). *How criticality affects students' creativity?* In C. Nygaard, N. Courtney & C. Holtham (Eds.), *Teaching creativity*, (pp. 65-84), Libri Publishing. https://pure.au.dk/ws/files/54505921/How_criticality_affects_students_creativity.pdf
- Ajayi, T., Amosun, P. A., & Ige, O. A. (2020). Impact of online resources on pre-service teachers' academic performance in social studies concepts. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(11B), 5716–5721. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082205>
- Akpur, U. (2020). Critical, reflective, creative thinking and their reflections on academic achievement. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100683>

- Alkhatib, O. J. (2019). A Framework for Implementing Higher-Order Thinking Skills (Problem-Solving, Critical Thinking, Creative Thinking, and Decision-Making) in Engineering & Humanities. 2019 Advances in Science and Engineering Technology International Conferences (ASET), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icaet.2019.8714232>
- Allen, K., Jamshidi, N., Berger, E., Reupert, A., Wurf, G., & May, F. (2021, June 25). Impact of School-Based Interventions for Building School Belonging in Adolescence: a Systematic Review. *Educational psychology review*, 34(1), 229-257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09621-w>
- Almulla, M. (2018). Investigating teachers' perceptions of their own practices to improve students' critical thinking in secondary schools in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education*, 6(3), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ijcrsee1803015a>
- Alsaleh, N. J. (2020). Teaching Critical thinking Skills: literature review. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 19(1), 21–39. <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1239945.pdf>
- Álvarez-Huerta, P., Muela, A., & Larrea, I. (2022). Disposition toward critical thinking and creative confidence beliefs in higher education students: The mediating role of openness to diversity and challenge. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 43, 101003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101003>
- Ambrose, K. (2023, January 23). *Facilitating and assessing student engagement in the classroom*. Center for Teaching & Learning. Retrieved May 25, 2024, from <https://www.colorado.edu/center/teaching-learning/2023/01/23/facilitating-and-assessing-student-engagement-classroom>
- Amiri, A K. (2020, October 9). Challenges Faced by School Students: Some Concerns in Developing Countries of Asia (India, Afghanistan, Iran, etc). *Researchers' Guild*, 2(1), 71-81. <https://doi.org/10.15503/rg2019.8>
- Anaktototy, K. (2023). Promoting Creativity and Innovation in the Teaching and Learning Process. *Edu Sciences Journal*, 4(1), 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.30598/edusciencevol4iss1pp59-66>
- Anderson, L. W. and Krathwohl, D. R., *et al.* (Eds..) (2001) *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Allyn & Bacon. Boston, MA (Pearson Education Group)
- Andiliou, A., & Murphy, P. K. (2010). Examining variations among researchers' and teachers' conceptualizations of creativity: A review and synthesis of contemporary research. *Educational Research Review*, 5(3), 201–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2010.07.003>
- Anggraeni, D. M., Prahani, B. K., Suprpto, N., Shofiyah, N., & Jatmiko, B. (2023). Systematic review of problem-based learning research in fostering critical thinking skills. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101334>

- Archambault, I., Janosz, M., Morizot, J., & Pagani, L. (2009). Adolescent Behavioral, Affective, and Cognitive engagement in School: Relationship to Dropout. *Journal of School Health*, 79(9), 408–415. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1561.2009.00428.x>
- Astin, A. W. (2020). Student involvement: A developmental theory for higher education. *Middlesex Community College*, 25(5), 297–308. <https://www.middlesex.mass.edu/ace/downloads/astininv.pdf>
- Austin, G., & Benard, B. (2007). *The state data system to assess learning barriers, supports, and engagement: Implications for school reform efforts*. Sacramento, CA: California Education Policy Convening.
- Anderson, A. R., Christenson, S. L., Sinclair, M. F., & Lehr, C. A. (2004). Check & Connect: The importance of relationships for promoting engagement with school. *Journal of School Psychology*, 42(2), 95–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2004.01.002>
- Bao, L., & Koenig, K. (2020). Physics education research for 21st century learning. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-019-0007-8>
- Barcelona, K. E. P., Daling, B. J., Doria, P., Balangiao, S. J., Mailes, M. J., Chiang, P. M., & Ubatay, D. (2023). Challenges and Opportunities of TLE teachers in Philippine Public Schools: an inquiry. *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies* 4(4), 44–60. <https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.0247>
- Bedir, H. (2021). Pre-service ELT Teachers' Beliefs and Perceptions on 21st Century Learning and Innovation Skills (4CS). *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 15(1), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.17263/jlls.547718>
- Beghetto, R. A. (2021). Creative learning in education. In *Springer eBooks* (pp. 473–491). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64537-3_19
- Benedek, M. (2024). On the relationship between creative potential and creative achievement: Challenges and future directions. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 110, 102424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2024.102424>
- Bennett, T. A., & Boesdorfer, S. B. (2020). Coupling PhET simulations and POGIL: High school chemistry students' learning and engagement in argumentation on the topic of atomic theory. *Journal of Teacher Action Research*, 6(2), 26–53.
- Bernstein, L. (2022). Student Engagement: Why it Matters. In *Xello Blog*. Xello World. Retrieved September 21, 2024, from <https://xello.world/en/blog/student-engagement/what-is-student-engagement/>
- Binder, M. (2023, September 26). *4 Cooperative Learning Strategies for Your Class*. Thinkific.com. Retrieved April 25, 2024, from <https://www.thinkific.com/blog/cooperative-learning-strategies/>
- Bloom, L. A., & Doss, K. (2019). Using technology to foster creative and critical thinking in the classroom. In J. Keengwe & R. Byamukama (Eds.), *Handbook of research on promoting higher-order skills and global competencies in life and work* (pp. 70–84). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-6331-0.ch005>

- Bloom, L. A., & Doss, K. (2020). Using technology to foster creative and critical thinking in the classroom. In *Advances in higher education and professional development book series* (pp. 70–84). <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-6331-0.ch005>
- Bual, J. M., & Madrigal, D. V. (2021). Correlating the school climate and teacher leadership of Catholic schools in Antique, Philippines. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 22–34. https://doi.org/10.9734/aj_ess/2021/v21i430514
- Castells, N., Minguela, M., Solé, I., Miras, M., Nadal, E., & Rijlaarsdam, G. (2021). Improving Questioning–Answering Strategies in Learning from Multiple Complementary Texts: An Intervention Study. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 57(3), 879–912. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.451>
- Cents-Boonstra, M., Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A., Denessen, E., Aelterman, N., & Haerens, L. (2020). Fostering student engagement with motivating teaching: an observation study of teacher and student behaviours. *Research Papers in Education*, 36(6), 754–779. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2020.1767184>
- Champion, K. E., Gardner, L. A., McGowan, C., Chapman, C., Thornton, L., Parmenter, B., McBride, N., Lubans, D. R., McCann, K., Spring, B., Teesson, M., & Newton, N. C. (2020). A Web-Based intervention to prevent multiple chronic disease risk factors among adolescents: Co-Design and user testing of the Health4Life School-Based Program. *JMIR Formative Research*, 4(7). <https://doi.org/10.2196/19485>
- Chapman, E. (2021). Alternative approaches to assessing student engagement rates. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 8(13), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.7275/3e6e-8353>
- Choy, S. C., & Oo, P. S. (2012). Reflective Thinking And Teaching Practices: A Precursor For Incorporating Critical Thinking Into The Classroom? *DergiPark (Istanbul University)*, 5(1), 167–182. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/eiji/article/70051>
- Conway, K. (2022). *Critical Thinking & Informed Decision-Making*. PressBooks. Retrieved October 15, 2024, from <https://pressbooks.pub/techcurr20221/chapter/critical-thinking-and-informed-decision-making/>
- Dalimunthe, H. A., Dewi, I. K., Yunita, Y., Faadhil, F., & Lubis, D. M. G. S. (2024). Building a supportive learning environment: The role of psychology in increasing student motivation and engagement. *OPSearch American Journal of Open Research*, 3(4), 934–939. <https://doi.org/10.58811/opsearch.v3i4.107>
- Darling-Aduana, J. (2020). Behavioral engagement shifts among At-Risk High School students enrolled in online courses. *AERA Open*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858419887736>
- Davidian. (2023, April 4). *Scepticism: Doubt, Denial and Dogmatism in The Skeptic Community*. Answer in Reason. Retrieved October 21, 2024, from <https://www.answers-in-reason.com/philosophy/epistemology/reson/scepticism-doubt-denial-and-dogmatism-in-the-skeptic-community/>
- Davies, D., Jindal-Snape, D., Collier, C., Digby, R., Hay, P., & Howe, A. (2021). Creative learning environments in education—A systematic literature review. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 8, 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2021.07.004>

- Davies, L. M., Newton, L. D., & Newton, D. P. (2020). Creativity as a twenty-first-century competence: an exploratory study of provision and reality. *Education 3-13*, 46(7), 879–891. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2017.1385641>
- De Furia, C. (2021). Solving the Student Engagement Crisis. EdNews Daily. Retrieved April 14, 2024, from <https://www.ednewsdaily.com/solving-the-student-engagement-crisis/>
- Del Arco, I., Silva, P., & Flores, O. (2021). University teaching in Times of Confinement: The light and shadows of compulsory Online learning. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 375. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010375>
- Dinoy, R B., Beltran, R A., Bulilan, R S., Vito, M P., Viodor, A C., Monalim, J A., & Ombajin, B.M. (2020, January 1). Needs Assessment in Danahao Integrated School. *International Journal of English, Literature and Social Science*, 5(6), 1985-1991. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.56.26>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., . . . Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002>
- Ed Matthews (2023, August 18). *Assessing Critical Thinking Skills for 21st-Century Learning*. Tao Testing. Retrieved October 11, 2024, from <https://www.taotesting.com/blog/assessing-critical-thinking-skills-for->
- Egonwa Ifesinach, Asuru Vincent Anosike, & Ouserigha Egedeba Agnes (2025). Rethinking Teacher Education: Inquiry-Based Learning for Effective Teaching and Learning. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, Vol (6) (Issue 5), 15508-15514. <https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V6ISSUE5/IJRPR46869.pdf>
- Esman, E. N., Bual, J. M., & Madrigal, D. V. (2023). Twenty-first century teaching skills and job satisfaction of public senior high school teachers in Central Philippines. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*, 17(7), 46– 62. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2023/v17i7493>
- Facione, P. A. (2000). The Disposition toward Critical Thinking: Its Character, Measurement, and Relationship to Critical Thinking Skill. *Informal Logic*, 20(1), 150-153. <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v20i1.2254>
- Fredericks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), pp.5 9-109.
- Freund, P.A., & Holling, H. (2020). Creativity in the Classroom: A multilevel analysis investigating the impact of creativity and reasoning ability on GPA. *Creativity Research Journal*, 20(3), 309–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400410802278776>

- Frye, S. (2023). *The Importance of Student Engagement*. Panorama Education. Retrieved April 16, 2024, from <https://www.panoramaed.com/blog/importance-of-student-engagement>
- Gamboa, J. T., Arceo, L. J. D., Guico, R. M. B., Lacson, A. N., Sugarno, P. M., & Robinos, J. R. O. (2024). Working To-Get-There: Student and teacher relationship towards a meaningful teaching and learning Experience. *International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.54476/ioer-imrj/958740>
- Gerds-Andresen, T., Hansen, M. T., & Grøndahl, V. A. (2021). Educational effectiveness: validation of an instrument to measure students' critical thinking and disposition. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(1), 685–700. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.15139a>
- Gholam, & Petro, A. (2019). Inquiry-Based Learning: student teachers' challenges and perceptions. *Journal of Inquiry and Action in Education*, 10(2), 6. <https://digitalcommons.buffalostate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1165&context=jiae>
- Golden, B. (2023). Enabling critical thinking development in higher education through the use of a structured planning tool. *Irish Educational Studies*, 42(4), 949–969. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2023.2258497>
- Gupta, S., & Nagpal, R. (2021). University Student Engagement Scale: development and validation in the Indian context. *MIER Journal of Educational Studies Trends & Practices*, 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.52634/mier/2021/v11/i2/1903>
- Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3, 275–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004>
- Han, W., & Abdrahim, N. A. (2023). The role of teachers' creativity in higher education: A systematic literature review and guidance for future research. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 48, 101302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101302>
- Hayat, A. A., Shateri, K., Amini, M., & Shokrpour, N. (2020). Relationships between academic self-efficacy, learning-related emotions, and metacognitive learning strategies with academic performance in medical students: a structural equation model. *BMC Medical Education*, 20(1), 76. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-01995-9>
- Heilporn, G., Raynault, A., & Frenette, É. (2023). Student engagement in a higher education course: A multidimensional scale for different course modalities. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 9, 100794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100794>
- Heinrich, C. J., Darling-Aduana, J., Good, A., & Cheng, H. (2019). A look inside online educational settings in high school: promise and pitfalls for improving educational opportunities and outcomes. *American Educational Research Journal*, 56(6), 2147–2188. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831219838776>
- Homayoun, S., & Henriksen, D. (2018). Creativity in Business Education: A review of Creative Self-Belief Theories and Arts-Based Methods. *Journal of Open Innovation*

- Technology Market and Complexity, 4(4), 55.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc4040055>
- Hospel, V., Galand, B., & Janosz, M. (2021). Multidimensionality of behavioural engagement: Empirical support and implications. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 77, 3749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2016.02.007>
- Iheoma, N. E., & Uchenna, O. P. (2020). Effective classroom management: a panacea for enhancing students' learning experience and satisfaction in higher education. *British Journal of Education*, 8(1), 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.37745/bje/vol8.no1.pp10-19.2020>
- Jaggars, S. S. (2014). Choosing between online and Face-to-Face courses: Community College student voices. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 28(1), 27–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2014.867697>
- Jasni, S. R., Zailani, Ahmad, S., & Zainal, H. (2020). Impak pendekatan kreatif dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran kosa kata Arab. *BITARA Int. J. Civiliz. Stud. Human Sci.* 3, 10–21. Available online at: <https://bitarajournal.com/index.php/bitarajournal/article/view/96/88>
- Jerusalem, R. (2020). Teachers' Innovative Teaching Strategies: Scale Development Using Exploratory Factor Analysis, Rustico Y. Jerusalem, RN, EdD, *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*. Retrieved April 1, 2020, from <https://www.researchpublish.com/papers/teachers-innovative-teaching-strategies-scale-development-using-exploratory-factor-analysis>
- Justyna, E. (2016, May 1). *Creativity in higher education curriculum: A qualitative case study of pedagogical processes and practices*. <http://hdl.handle.net/2346/67036>
- Kuh, G. D. (2001). Assessing what really matters to student Learning Inside the National Survey of Student Engagement. *Change the Magazine of Higher Learning*, 33(3), 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00091380109601795>
- Leibovitch, Y. M., Beencke, A., Ellerton, P. J., McBrien, C., Robinson-Taylor, C., & Brown, D. J. (2024). Teachers' (evolving) beliefs about critical thinking education during professional learning: A multi-case case study. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 101725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2024.101725>
- Levy, M. S. (2011). Migrant Laptops: Extending the academic day for the children of farm workers and their credit recovery via laptops. *Computers in the Schools*, 28(2), 140–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2011.577396>
- Li, L., & Yang, S. (2021). Exploring the influence of Teacher-Student interaction on university students' Self-Efficacy in the flipped classroom. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 10(2), 84. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v10n2p84>
- Liu, Y., Zhang, M., Zhao, X., & Jia, F. (2021). Fostering EFL/ESL students' language achievement: The role of teachers' enthusiasm and classroom enjoyment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.781118>
- Machost, H., & Stains, M. (2023). Reflective Practices in Education: A primer for practitioners. *CBE - Life Sciences Education*, 22(2). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.22-07-0148>

- Mallillin, L. L. D., Mallillin, J. B., Ampongan, Y. D., Lipayon, I. C., Mejica, M. M., & Burabo, J. Z. (2023). Instructional design for an effective classroom. *Pedagogy of teaching. Eureka Journal of Educational Research*, 1(2), 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.56773/ejer.v1i2.6>
- McLeod, S. (2025). *Constructivism Learning Theory & Philosophy of Education*. Simply Psychology. <https://www.simplypsychology.org/constructivism.html>
- Munna, A. S., & Kalam, M. A. (2021). Teaching and learning process to enhance teaching effectiveness: literature review. *International Journal of Humanities and Innovation (IJHI)*, 4(1),14. <https://doi.org/10.33750/ijhi.v4i1.102>
- Nadeem, H. A., Mehmood, E., & Haider, I. (n.d.). *Cognitive and Affective Engagement in a Comparative Perspective: Evidence of Formal and Non-Formal Post-Graduate Students*. Commonwealth of Learning. Retrieved October 21, 2024, from <https://oasis.col.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/64d67ffc-b9a3-4bedb6eae81b17e4a2aa/content#:~:text=Affective%20Engagement%20provides%20self%2Dreport,and%20perceptions%20towards%20the%20institution>
- Nakano, T. de C., & Wechsler, S. M. (2018). Creativity and innovation: Skills for the 21st century. *Estudos de Psicologia (Campinas)*, 35(3), 237–246. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-02752018000300002> (SciELO)
- Nuñez, A. B., & Despi, D. E. (2025). Enhancing Classroom Instruction of Teachers Through Professional Development Programs. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)*, 440–454, 440–454. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25mar611>
- Nurhayati, N., Angkarini, T., & Tanamal, N. A. (2021). Students' perception of teachers' creativity in implementing home learning at SMK Duta Mas. *Scope Journal of English Language Teaching*, 5(2),90. <https://doi.org/10.30998/scope.v5i2.8655>
- OpenStax. (2024). 12.4 Testing the significance of the correlation coefficient. In *Introductory Statistics 2e*. Rice University. <https://openstax.org/books/introductory-statistics-2e/pages/12-4-testing-the-significance-of-the-correlation-coefficient>
- Padayichie, K (2023, May 22). Creative Education. Retrieved from <https://www.structural-learning.com/post/creative-education>
- Padillo, G. G., Manguilimotan, R. P., Capuno, R. G., & Espina, R. C. (2021). Professional development activities and teacher performance. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 9(3), 497–506. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.61.2021.93.497.506>
- Pahayahay, A., & Khalili-Mahani, N. (2020). What Media Helps, What Media Hurts: A Mixed Methods Survey Study of Coping with COVID-19 Using the Media Repertoire Framework and the Appraisal Theory of Stress. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(8). <https://doi.org/10.2196/20186>
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2020). *The Miniature Guide to Critical Thinking Concepts & Tools*. http://pcwrgeography.pbworks.com/w/file/attach/84314113/Concepts_Tools.pdf
- Pillai, A., & Anonuevo, J. (2023). The relationship between school environment and student engagement in private schools. ResearchGate.

- <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381568513> The Relationship between School Environment and Student Engagement in Private School
- Pilotti, M., Anderson, S., Hardy, P., Murphy, P., & Vincent, P. (2017). Factors related to cognitive, emotional, and behavioral engagement in the online asynchronous classroom. *International Journal on Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 29(1), 145–153. <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1135992.pdf>
- Prakoso, B. H., Ramdani, Z., Tae, L. F., & M, L. N. R. (2021). Creativity, critical thinking, and academic performance in students university during virtual learning. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research/Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210423.081>
- Raj, T., Chauhan, P., Mehrotra, R., & Sharma, M. (2022). The importance of critical thinking in education. *World Journal of English Language*, 12(3), 126. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v12n3p126>
- Ranbir, N. D. (2024). Promoting Critical Thinking Skills in the Classroom. *Universal Research Reports*, 11(2), 154–158. <https://doi.org/10.36676/urr.v11.i2.1276>
- Ranbir. (2024). Educational technology integration: challenges and opportunities. *Innovative Research Thoughts*, 10(2), 75–79. <https://doi.org/10.36676/irt.v10.i2.1406>
- Reeve, J., Cheon, S. H., & Jang, H. (2020). How and why students make academic progress: Reconceptualizing the student engagement construct to increase its explanatory power. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101899>
- Reschly, A. L., Pohl, A. J., & Christenson, S. L. (2020). Student engagement. In *Springer eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37285-9>
- Robeltine, N., & Ivan, C. C. (2023, November 27). Teachers' Know-How on Teaching Methods and Designs Used for Minor Potential Transformation. *International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research*. Retrieved December 6, 2024, from https://www.ijriar.com/docs/20_23/2023-dec/IJRIAR-04.pdf
- Rubenstein, L D., Ridgley, L M., Callan, G L., Karami, S., & Ehlinger, J. (2021, February 1). How teachers perceive factors that influence creativity development: Applying a Social Cognitive Theory perspective. *Teaching and teacher education*, 70, 100-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.11.012>
- Salavacion, R. (2021). Teachers' Innovative Strategies and Engagement: Their Influence to Learners' Performance. *International Journal of Science and Management Studies (IJSMS)*. <https://doi.org/10.51386/25815946>
- Schnitzler, K., Holzberger, D., & Seidel, T. (2020). All better than being disengaged: Student engagement patterns and their relations to academic self-concept and achievement. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 36(3), 627–652. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-020-00500-6>
- Scott-Barrett, J., Johnston, S., Denton-Calabrese, T., McGrane, J. A., & Hopfenbeck, T. N. (2023). Nurturing curiosity and creativity in primary school classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104356>

- Shanmugavelu, G., Ariffin, K., Vadivelu, M., Mahayudin, Z., & Sundaram, M. a. R. K. (2020). Questioning techniques and teachers' role in the classroom. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 8(4), 45–49. <https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v8i4.3260>
- Shukla, P., Kumar, D., & Shukla, A. (2024). *Project-Based Learning (PBL)*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378334128_Project-Based_Learning_PBL
- Siburian, J., Corebima, A. D., Ibrohim, & Saptasari, M. (2019). The correlation between critical and creative thinking skills on cognitive learning results. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 19(81), 99–114. <https://izlik.org/JA29JC99RY> (DergiPark)
- Silva, J., Edmond, J., & Jauregui, C. (2025). Critical Thinking in the Classroom: Faculty Perspectives and Practices. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 14(3), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v14n3p1>
- Singh, M. (2022). The Importance of Critical Thinking in Education. Rahul Education. Retrieved April 16, 2024, from <https://rahuleducation.org/our-scribes/the-importance-of-critical-thinking-in-education/>
- Southworth, J. (2022). Bridging critical thinking and transformative learning: The role of perspective-taking. *Theory and Research in Education*, 20(1), 44–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14778785221090853>
- Stanovich, K. E. (2016). The Comprehensive Assessment of Rational Thinking. *Educational Psychologist*, 51(1), 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2015.1125787>
- Starostina, N., & Sosnina, E. (2020). The role of critical thinking in professional development of linguists. In *Lecture notes in networks and systems* (pp. 770–777). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47415-7_82
- Suphasri, P. (2021). Reflective practice in teacher Education: issues, challenges, and considerations. *PASAA*, 62(1), 236–264. <https://doi.org/10.58837/chula.pasaa.62.1.9>
- Susetyarini, E., Nurohman, E., & Husamah, H. (2022). Analysis of Students' Collaborative, Communication, Critical Thinking, and Creative Abilities through Problem-Based Learning. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengkajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 6(1), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.36312/esaintika.v6i1.584>
- Sutton, E. (2021, March 3). *Student engagement: why it's important and how to promote it*. Branching Minds. Retrieved April 16, 2024, from <https://www.branchingminds.com/blog/student-engagement-remote-in-person>
- Tancinco, N. P. (2021). Status of Teachers' Workload and Performance in State Universities of Eastern Visayas: Implications to Educational Management. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 18(6), <https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jbm/papers/Vol18-issue6/Version-4/H1806044657.pdf>
- Thomas, L. (2023, June 22). *Stratified sampling | Definition, guide & examples*. Scribbr. <https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/stratified-sampling/>

- Turney, S. (2024, February 10). *Pearson correlation coefficient (r) | Guide & examples*. Scribbr. <https://www.scribbr.com/statistics/pearson-correlation-coefficient/>
- Ucus, S., & Acar, I. H. (2020). Teachers' Innovativeness and Teaching Approach: The Mediating Role of Creative Classroom Behaviours. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 46(10), 1697–1711. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.7100>
- Van Laar, E., Van Deursen, A. J., Van Dijk, J. A., & De Haan, J. (n.d.). The relation between 21st-century skills and digital skills: A systematic literature review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 72, 577–588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.03.010>
- Van Tonder, G. P., Kloppers, M. M., & Grosser, M. M. (2022). Enabling Self-Directed Academic and Personal Wellbeing Through Cognitive Education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.789194>
- Vantieghem, W., Roose, I., Gheysens, E., Griful-Freixenet, J., Keppens, K., Vanderlinde, R., Struyven, K., & Van Avermaet, P. (2020). Professional vision of inclusive classrooms: A validation of teachers' reasoning on differentiated instruction and teacher-student interactions. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100912>
- Ventista, O., & Brown, C. (2023). Teachers' professional learning and its impact on students' learning outcomes: Findings from a systematic review. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssoho.2023.100565>
- Weizheng, Z. (2019). Teacher-Student Interaction in EFL Classroom in China: Communication Accommodation Theory Perspective. *English Language Teaching*, 12(12), 99. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v12n12p99>
- Woodward, D., Booth, S., Allen, E., Forbes, A., Donnelly, C., & Taylor, J. (2021). Constructivism and reflective practice: An evidence-based approach to tertiary teaching. *Scope Contemporary Research Topics (Learning and Teaching)*, 10, 145–153. <https://doi.org/10.34074/scop.4010014>
- Xhomara, V., & Uka, A. (2023). Creativity in Education: Fostering creativity in the classroom using creative teaching methods. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8068813>
- Xhomara, V., & Uka, A. (2023). Creativity in education: Fostering creativity in the classroom using creative teaching methods. *Beder Journal of Educational Sciences*, 26(2). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8068813>
- Xiao, M., Tian, Z., & Xu, W. (2023). Impact of teacher-student interaction on students' classroom well-being under online education environment. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(11), 14669–14691. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11681-0>
- Xu, D., & Jaggars, S. S. (2014). Performance Gaps between Online and Face-to-Face Courses: Differences across Types of Students and Academic Subject Areas. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 85(5), 633–659. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2014.11777343>

- Yükseltürk, E., & Bulut, S. (2007). Predictors for Student Success in an Online Course. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 10(2), 71–83.
- Yundayani, A., Abdullah, F., Tandiana, S. T., & Sutrisno, B. (2021). Students' cognitive engagement during emergency remote teaching: Evidence from the Indonesian EFL milieu. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(1), 17–33. <https://doi.org/10.52462/jlls.2>
- Zajda, J. (2023). *The impact of motivation on students' engagement and performance*. In *Globalisation, comparative education and policy research* (pp. 127–138). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-42895-1_9
- Zhan, Z., Wu, Q., Lin, Z., & Cai, J. (2021). Smart classroom environments affect teacher-student interaction: Evidence from a behavioural sequence analysis. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(2), 96–109. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.6523>
- Zhang, Y., Tian, Y., Yao, L., Duan, C., Sun, X., & Niu, G. (2023). Teaching presence promotes learner affective engagement: The roles of cognitive load and need for cognition. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104167>
- Zulkifli, H., Tamuri, A. H., & Azman, N. A. (2022). Understanding creative teaching in twenty-first-century learning among Islamic education teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.920859>