

European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science

ISSN: 2501 - 1235 ISSN-L: 2501 - 1235

Available on-line at: www.oapub.org/edu

DOI: 10.46827/ejpe.v12i5.5991

Volume 12 | Issue 5 | 2025

EVALUATION OF THE CURRENT STATE AND PROPOSED MEASURES FOR ENSURING THE QUALITY OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION FOR STUDENTS AT MEMBER UNIVERSITIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF DA NANG, VIETNAM

Tran Le Nhat Quang¹,

Pham Duc Hoa²

¹PhD,

Faculty of Physical Education,

University of Da Nang,

University of Da Nang, Da Nang City, Vietnam ²Faculty of Physical Education, University of Da Nang, Da Nang City, Vietnam

Abstract:

This study evaluates the current state of physical education (PE) at three member universities of the University of Da Nang: University of Science and Technology, University of Economics, and University of Education. A comprehensive survey was conducted on 610 students and 200 administrators and lecturers to assess the effectiveness of PE management. The results indicate several challenges, including outdated infrastructure, lack of personalized curriculum planning, low student awareness, and limited participation in extracurricular activities. To address these issues, seven specific measures were developed. These include curriculum reform focusing on competency, enhancing the qualifications and pedagogical skills of lecturers, upgrading facilities, strengthening links between school sports and PE, applying technology in PE assessment and planning, and promoting awareness through school campaigns. All proposed measures were assessed for their necessity and feasibility using a 4-point Likert scale and received high ratings. The study offers empirical insights and practical suggestions to improve the quality of PE programs and align them with global standards of sustainability, health, and technology.

Keywords: physical education, quality assurance, students, current state, measures, University of Da Nang

-

ⁱCorrespondence: email <u>nhatquang19121984@gmail.com</u>

1. Introduction

Physical education (PE) plays an essential role in fostering physical health, psychological well-being, and comprehensive personal development among students. As the global landscape evolves with increasing emphasis on digital transformation and sustainable practices, the demands on educational institutions to modernize their PE programs have grown significantly. Despite this, PE in Vietnamese universities continues to face numerous challenges, including outdated and rigid curricula, insufficient infrastructure, limited resources, and low student engagement in non-compulsory physical activities.

This study focuses on assessing the current status of PE management at three constituent universities of the University of Da Nang (UDN): University of Science and Technology, University of Economics, and University of Education. However, in reality, physical education activities at many higher education institutions still face inadequacies in training programs, facilities, lecturer qualifications, teaching methods, and students' awareness. Therefore, studying the current situation and proposing appropriate measures to ensure the quality of physical education is an urgent and essential task.

The study addresses two key questions:

- What is the current state of PE management in these universities?
- What practical measures can be proposed to enhance and sustain the quality of PE education?

2. Methods

2.1 Research Design

A mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analyses, was employed. Methods included:

- **Document Analysis**: Reviewing regulations, reports, and prior studies on PE.
- Sociological Survey: Collecting data via questionnaires from students and staff.
- **In-depth Interviews**: Engaging 10 lecturers and 5 administrators to explore challenges.
- **Observation**: Assessing infrastructure and PE activities at the universities.
- **Statistical Analysis**: Processing data using SPSS, with reliability confirmed at Cronbach's Alpha = 0.82.

2.2 Participants

The sample comprised 610 students (randomly stratified) and 200 administrators and lecturers from the University of Science and Technology, University of Economics, and University of Education.

2.3 Data Analysis

Data were coded using a 4-point Likert scale (1: Poor, 4: Excellent). Means and percentages evaluated the current state and measured feasibility.

3. Results

3.1 Current State of Physical Education Management

3.1.1 Input Management

Input management requires assessing student preferences and planning accordingly. Table 3.1 presents participation levels and preferred PE content:

Table 3.1: Participation Levels and Preferred Physical Education Content

No.	Item	N	%
Participation	Participation in the mandatory PE curriculum	592	97.04
Levels	Voluntary extracurricular PE	197	32.29
	Sports club activities	131	21.47
	Sports team involvement	76	12.45
Preferred PE	Basic exercise	237	38.85
Content	Aerobic/Dance sport	316	51.80
	Free exercise	217	35.57
	Track and field	279	45.73
	Volleyball	471	77.21
	Basketball	496	81.31
	Football	506	82.95
	Martial arts	394	64.59
	Swimming	425	69.67
	Badminton	476	78.03
	Tennis	328	53.77

Mandatory PE participation is high (97.04%), but voluntary activities like clubs (21.47%) and teams (12.45%) are limited, indicating a need for greater encouragement. Team sports (e.g., football, 82.95%) are favored over high-skill activities (e.g., basic exercise, 38.85%).

Table 3.2: Administrator and Lecturer Feedback on PE Planning (n=200)

No.	Item	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Mean			
Setting PE goals, content,		49	105	38	8	2.98			
1	and conditions	(24.5%)	(52.5%)	(19.0%)	(4.0%)	2.98			
2	Planning to assess the student	85	93	19	3	3.30			
2	habits and talents	(42.5%)	(46.5%)	(9.5%)	(1.5%)	3.30			
2	Tailoring PE to academic	15	95	61	29	2.40			
3	disciplines	(7.5%)	(47.5%)	(30.5%)	(14.5%)	2.48			

Preference assessment scored well (3.30), but tailoring PE to disciplines was weak (2.48), reflecting inflexibility across diverse academic needs.

Table 3.3: Conditions Supporting PE Inputs

No.	Item	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Mean	
1	Preparing facilities	11	98	64	27	2.47	
1	and equipment	oment (5.5%)	(49.0%)	(32.0%)	(13.5%)	∠.47	
2	Scheduling teaching	84	91	21	4	2.20	
	assignments	(42.0%)		(10.5%)	(2.0%)	3.28	
3	Assessing initial health	47	106	37	10	2.95	
3	and talents	(23.5%)	(53.0%)	(18.5%)	(5.0%)	2.93	

Infrastructure is a major constraint (2.47), with facilities lacking uniformity. Teaching assignments scored high (3.28), but health assessments (2.95) need enhancement for personalization.

3.1.2 Process Management

Table 3.4: Process Management Assessment

No.	Item	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Mean	
1	Managing PE	50	107	38	5	2.01	
1	goals	(25.0%)	(53.5%)	(19.0%)	(2.5%)	3.01	
2	Managing PE	48	106	40	6	2.98	
2	content	(24.0%)	(53.0%)	(20.0%)	(3.0%)	2.98	
3	Managing	89	95	16	0	3.37	
3	facilities	(44.5%)	(47.5%)	(8.0%)	(0.0%)	3.37	
4	Managing teaching	11	95	73	21	2.40	
4	activities	(5.5%)	(47.5%)	(36.5%)	(10.5%)	2.48	
F	Managing student	10	95	68	27	2.44	
5	PE forms	(5.0%)	(47.5%)	(34.0%)	(13.5%)	2.44	
6	Managing	32	64	89	15	2.57	
6	PE evaluation	(16.0%)	(32.0%)	(44.5%)	(7.5%)	2.37	

Facility management excelled (3.37), but teaching (2.48) and student activities (2.44) lagged due to inadequate oversight and encouragement. Evaluation (2.57) requires refinement for accuracy.

3.1.3 Output Management

Table 3.5: Output Management Assessment

No.	Item	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Mean			
1	Collecting student	13	87	77	23	2.45			
1	feedback	(6.5%)	(43.5%)	(38.5%)	(11.5%)	2.45			
Evaluating PE		52	105	39	4	2.02			
2	outcomes	(26.0%)	(52.5%)	(19.5%)	(2.0%)	3.03			
3	Assessing student	87 96 17		17	0	2.25			
3	satisfaction	(43.5%)	(48.0%)	(8.5%)	(0.0%)	3.35			
4	Evaluating physical	53	110	30	7	2.05			
4	capacity	(26.5%)	(55.0%)	(15.0%)	(3.5%)	3.05			

Satisfaction surveys scored high (3.35), but feedback collection was poor (2.45) due to undefined processes. Capacity (3.05) and outcome evaluations (3.03) need greater objectivity.

3.2 Proposed Measures

Seven measures were developed (Table 3.6).

Table 3.6: Summary of Proposed Measures

No.	Measures	Objective	Description & Conditions			
1	Promote quality assurance	Enhance PE awareness	Conduct training, campaigns via			
awareness		Enhance FE awareness	youth unions, clubs, and events			
2	Reform the PE curriculum	Boost skills and fitness Increase electives, reduce theo				
	for competency	Boost skills and fittless	integrate tech for health tracking			
3	Manage PE via the quality	Ensure comprehensive	Survey needs, plan detailed, evaluate			
3	assurance process	standards	and improve digitally			
			Innovate professional activities,			
4	Enhance lecturer expertise	Improve teaching quality	encourage research and advanced			
			study			
5	Optimize infrastructure	Support teaching/learning	Invest, inventory, maintain, and			
3	management	Support teaching/learning	socialize resources			
6	Link school sports with	Foster practical fitness	Establish clubs, organize			
0	PE	Foster practical fitness	competitions, and build teams			
7	Innovate PE assessment	Ensure objective	Periodic checks, clear criteria, self-			
/	IIIIOvate i E assessment	evaluation	assessment tools, and competitions			

These measures emphasize holistic management, competency development, technology (e.g., health apps), and resource socialization for sustainability.

3.3 Necessity and Feasibility Assessment

Table 3.7: Necessity and Feasibility Ratings

	Necessity					Feasibility							
Measures	Very High	High	Low	None	Mean	Rank	Very High	High	Low	None	Mean	Rank	
	N	N	N	N	Mean	Kank	N	N	N	N	Mean	Kank	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			
1	190	81	7	6	3.60	3	165	108	6	5	3.52	3	
1	(66.9)	(28.5)	(2.5)	(2.1)		3	(58.1)	(38.0)	(2.1)	(1.8)	3.32	3	
2	189	79	9	7	3.58	3.58	4	146	116	19	3	3.43	5
2	(66.5)	(27.8)	(3.2)	(2.5)			4	(51.4)	(40.8)	(6.7)	(1.1)	3.43	3
3	212	65	5	2	3.71	3.71	1	168	106	7	3	3.55	2
3	(74.7)	(22.9)	(1.8)	(0.7)			1	(59.2)	(37.3)	(2.5)	(1.1)	3.33	2
4	203	69	11	1	3.67	3.67	2	176	104	3	1	3.60	1
4	(71.5)	(24.3)	(3.9)	(0.4)			2	(62.0)	(36.6)	(1.1)	(0.4)	3.00	1
5	172	93	11	8	3.51	7	133	117	22	12	2 21	6	
3	(60.6)	(32.7)	(3.9)	(2.8)		/	(46.8)	(41.2)	(7.7)	(4.2)	3.31	0	
6	181	88	10	5	2.57	5	161	109	10	4	2 50	4	
	(63.7)	(31.0)	(3.5)	(1.8)	3.57	3	(56.7)	(38.4)	(3.5)	(1.4)	3.50	4	
7	176	91	9	8	2 52	6	139	93	39	13	2 26	7	
/	(62.0)	(32.0)	(3.2)	(2.8)	3.53	O	(48.9)	(32.7)	(13.7)	(4.6)	3.26	/	

All measures rated highly necessary (3.51-3.71) and feasible (3.26-3.60). GP3 (process management) was most necessary, while GP4 (lecturer training) was most feasible.

Necessity vs Feasibility across M1-M7 3.8 Necessity Feasibility 3.71 3.7 3.67 3.60 3.60 3.58 3.6 3.57 3.55 3.53 3.52 3.51 3.50 ı× 3.5 3.43 3.4 3.31 3.3 3.26

Figure 3.1: Comparison of Necessity and Feasibility

Note: Necessity exceeds feasibility, indicating implementation challenges.

4. Discussion

The study highlights infrastructure, program management, and awareness as barriers to effective PE. These align with findings that facilities drive participation (Nguyễn Toán & Phạm Danh Tốn, 2006). Technology integration (e.g., fitness tracking) enhances sustainability.

Compared to global studies, Vietnam's PE lacks interdisciplinary health integration (Kirk, 2010). GP2 addresses this but requires long-term investment. Output management (Table 3.5) could adopt real-time feedback systems, as in South Korea (Kim & Lee, 2020). GP5 reflects successful public-private models in Thailand (Suwat, 2018), though feasibility hinges on funding and leadership.

4.1 Limitations

The study is limited to three universities, lacks national representation, and does not track long-term health impacts.

5. Conclusion

This study elucidates the state of PE at three University of Da Nang institutions, surveying 610 students and 200 staff. Infrastructure (2.47), teaching management (2.48), and extracurriculars (2.44) impede physical development and holistic health. Seven measures—curriculum reform, lecturer training, technology use, and infrastructure optimization—scored high in necessity (3.51–3.71) and feasibility (3.26–3.60), with process management and training leading.

The findings offer empirical insights for PE management in Vietnam, promoting sustainable development aligned with global technology and health trends (UNESCO, 2015). Limited scope and long-term data gaps suggest future research to expand coverage, assess the efficacy, and benchmark internationally.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the University of Da Nang under project number T2024-ĐHĐN-13. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the administrators, lecturers, and students of the University of Da Nang. Special thanks to Dr. Nguyen Quang Son for his valuable comments and feedback on this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Author

Tran Le Nhat Quang (PhD) is Head of Training and Student Affairs Section, Faculty of Physical Education, University of Da Nang, Vietnam. His working areas are outdoor activities and physical education.

References

- 1) UNESCO. (2015). Quality physical education: Guidelines for policy-makers. UNESCO Publishing.
- 2) Dang Ha Viet. (2022). Management of Physical Education in Universities. Tap chí Khoa hoc TDTT.
- 3) Nguyen Toan & Pham Danh Ton. (2006). *Theory and Methods of Physical Education and Sports*. Sports Publishing House.
- 4) Kirk, D. (2010). Physical education futures. Routledge.
- 5) Kim, J., & Lee, S. (2020). Technology-enhanced physical education in South Korea. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 20(3), 123-130.
- 6) Suwat, S. (2018). Public-private partnerships in sport infrastructure: Lessons from Thailand. *Asian Journal of Sport Management*, 10(2), 45-56.
- 7) Bailey, R. (2018). Sport, physical activity and educational achievement. *Sport in Society*, 21(5), 674-689.
- 8) Survey data from the research project at the University of Da Nang (2023–2024).

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a Creative Commons attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).