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Abstract:
Warm-up helps the individual to prepare themselves for the strenuous workout. Warm-up is generally executed prior participation in any physical activity or sports. Active warm-up includes low-intensity exercises, whereas passive warm-up includes external heat resources that are useful to enhance body temperature. The objective of the study was to compare the effect of active, passive and combined warm-up techniques on dynamic balance and muscular strength of the players belonging to hand dominated sports such as handball, volleyball, and basketball. To fulfill the objective 15 players of hand dominated sports were recruited as the sample further they were divided into 3 groups i.e. active, passive and combined comprising 5 players each on a random basis. The average chronological age, stature height, and body weight of the subjects were 26.5 ± 5.7 years, 172.4 ± 3.4 cm, and 68.7 ± 5.6 kg, respectively. For dynamic balance, the equilibrium management test was administered by stabilometer (Techno-Body Machine). The test was done with open and closed eyes, with two stability level i.e. 10 & 20. An isokinetic dynamometer (BIODEX) was used to measure muscle strength. The test was started as participant performed 5 repetitions of CON/CON at low speed of 60/60 °/sec. and by a high speed of 180/180 °/sec. Before taking data on dynamic balance and muscular strength, all 3 groups were given different warm-up techniques which technique was assign to their group. The obtained data were statistically analyzed by using IBM SPSS v.20 software. Descriptive statistic and one-way ANOVA were computed. The results revealed there is no significant difference in any warm-up method on muscular strength as well as on dynamic stability.
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1. Introduction

The athletes usually participate in a low-level exercise program before any competition or start of the vigorous physical activity. This exercise program is known as warm-ups. Warm-ups help the athletes to prepare themselves for the strenuous demand of workout. The practice of warm-up has been accepted globally. The purpose of the warm-up is to raise the temperature of specific muscle to be used as well as initiate the energy system that is essential for that particular competition or physical activity. An optimal warm-up prior to any physical activity may help athletes in their mental & physical readiness, injury prevention, and overall sports performance. A warm-up increases nerve impulse transmission and metabolic activity, while it decreases joint and muscle stiffness (Leon, Oh, & Rana, 2012).

The traditional warm-up includes moderate jogging which is followed by a number of static stretch exercises, like forward, backward, sideward bending etc. (Baechle & Earle, 2016). Generally, there are two types of warm-up active and passive. Active warm-up includes low-intensity exercises which are useful to enhance body temperature, warm body’s tissue and also improving physiological functions (Bishop, 2003). Passive warm-up includes external heat resources such as whirlpools, diathermy, ultrasound, hot pack, bath and massage (McGowan, Pyne, Thompson, & Rattray, 2015).

Researchers who worked on this topic documented that active warm-up reduces muscle stiffness through breakdown the stable bound between myosin and actin filaments (Proske, Morgan, & Gregory, 1993). Active warm-up did improve performance in speed, endurance in swimming, accuracy in basketball shooting (Thompson, 1958), peak torque on a cycle ergometer (McKenna, Green, Shaw, & Meyer, 1987), and 55 meters runtime (Grojcinovsky & Magel 1970). Voluntary resistance methods of stimulating post-activation potentiation can enhance CMJ height (Gullich & Schmidtbleicher, 1996), short-sprint performance (Chatzopoulos et al., 2007), improve vertical-jump performance (Church, Wiggins, Moode, & Crist, 2001), and swimmers were also found 1.5% faster in the 100 m freestyle (Neiva, Marques, Fernandes, Viana, Barbosa, & Marinho, 2014).

In the similar note researchers documented that passive warm-up enhances blood flow into the major muscle group and prevents energy expenditure. Gregson, Drust, Batterham, and Cable, (2005), Bergh and Ekblom (1979), Grose (1958), Asmussen and Boje (1945) studied the performance of untrained, moderate trained and trained male athletes with several warm-ups protocol and concluded that there were significant improvements in sprint time, average speed, peak velocity, peak torque at exercise.

The dynamic stability and muscular strength are two important parameters for the athletic performance (Edouard et al., 2016). Curry, Chengkalath, Crouch, Romance, and Manns, (2009) suggested that dynamic balance has greater applicability to improve...
sports performance (Hrysomallis, 2011). Holmstrom and Ahlborg (2005) suggested that a small number of warm-ups might be effective for increasing and maintaining range of motion and muscle endurance for untrained male workers. Increase in the muscle strength reduces sports injuries and improve athletic performance (Opar & Serpell, 2014). Numerous researchers reported that sports performance improved by following an active and passive warm-up while some of the researchers had found that there is no benefit. Due to the unanimity, concerning the effect of active and passive warm-up upon sports performance might be lack of heterogeneity to different exercise protocols and insufficiency of well-controlled studies. Considerable studies have been accomplished on both of these concerns. Hence, this study is undertaken to compare the effect of active, passive and combined warm-up on dynamic balance and muscular strength of the players who belong to hand dominated sports.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1 Participants
The study comprises fifteen (15) active individuals who are the players of basketball, volleyball, and handball. Further, they were divided into three warm-up groups - Active warm-up (AWU) group (n=5), Passive warm-up (PWU) group (n=5), and Combined warm-up (CWU) group (n=5). All the participants were right arm dominant. They were injury-free and volunteered to participate in this study. The age, height and body weight of the subjects was recorded as 26.5 ± 5.7 years, 172.4 ± 3.4 cm, 68.7± 5.6 kg, respectively. The participants were recruited from the Delhi State.

2.2 Activities Given to Warm-up Groups
2.2.1 Active Warm-up (AWU)
This group comprises volleyball players. The intervention which given to this group was 12-minute jog on the self-regulated treadmill followed by five push-ups, pull-ups, five-meter single leg hop to and from with alternate leg, five half and full squats. AWU also followed by stretching for lower leg muscles.

2.2.2 Passive Warm-up (PWU)
Moist heat heating device was used that included eight Chattanooga original hydro-collator moist heat hot packs (Standard-size 10” x 12” ) as an intervention. PWU started as packs were applied over the leg and hip muscles (Gluteus, Hamstrings, Quadriceps, Gastrocnemius, and soleus) for the duration of 20 minutes. During the application, participants lay down in the prone position. PWU also followed by stretching for lower leg muscle. This intervention is given to basketball players.

2.2.3 Combined Warm-up (CWU)
CWP was given to the handball players. Combined warm-up involved AWU and PWU both. The only difference is that the jog time was reduced by 6 minutes followed by five push-ups, pull-ups, five meters single leg hop to and from with alternate leg and hydro-
collator moist heat hot packs were applied over the leg and hip muscles for the duration of 12 minutes. CWU also followed by stretching of major muscles in the lower leg.

2.3 Variables of the Study and Test Procedure
2.3.1 Dynamic Balance
The dynamic balance was measured by the equilibrium management test by using stabilometer (Techno-Body Machine). For the bipedal test, each participant stands with both feet in parallel on the platform with their hands on the waist. Each test took 30 seconds. Each test was done with open and closed eyes, with two stability level (Hard 10, Easy 20). Instructions were given to guarantee the consistent performance of the standardized testing procedure.

2.3.2 Muscular Strength
To measure muscle performance Isokinetic dynamometer (BIODEX) was used. The range of motion was set as 0 degree and 100 degrees for away from the body and toward the body, respectively. The weight of the leg was measured by fixing on 90 degrees of flexion. The participant was instructed to perform 3 trails of forceful extension and flexion of the knee. The test was started as participant performed 5 repetitions of CON/CON at low speed of 60/60 °/sec, followed by a high speed of 180/180 °/sec. One minute was give as rest time in between the velocities.

2.4 Procedure
All participants were informed prior about the objective, protocol, and risk associated with experimental procedures. They provide their written consent to be a part of the study. The participants were instructed not to participate in any type of physical exercise program prior to 36 hours of the testing that could affect their performance in the study. Demonstration and instruction of the warm-up protocol and testing procedure were given one day before from the actual testing day to make all participants familiar with the test. Institutional ethical clearance was also obtained for the study.

2.5 Statistical Analysis
The collected data were statistically analyzed by using IBM SPSS v.20. Software. Descriptive statistic and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were computed to explore significant difference of active, passive or combined warm-up groups on dynamic stability and muscle strength. The level of significance was set at 0.05. In order to measure the level of dynamic stability and muscular strength between open and closed eyes for the Easy and Hard level in different types of warm-ups- means, standard deviations, standard error, minimum and maximum values were separately calculated. To find a significant difference between different types of warm-ups for open and closed eyes with easy and hard level, one-way analysis of variance was calculated further.
3. Results

Table 1: Descriptive statistic of dynamic stability in between different types of warm-up groups with open and closed eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Open Eyes (Easy)</th>
<th>Close Eyes (Easy)</th>
<th>Open Eyes (Hard)</th>
<th>Close Eyes (Hard)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWU</td>
<td>PWU</td>
<td>CWU</td>
<td>AWU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Descriptive statistic of muscle strength between different types of warm-up groups during extension and flexion with the slow and fast speed level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extension (Slow)</th>
<th>Flexion (Slow)</th>
<th>Extension (Fast)</th>
<th>Flexion (Fast)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWU</td>
<td>PWU</td>
<td>CWU</td>
<td>AWU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>148.96</td>
<td>147.78</td>
<td>165.42</td>
<td>76.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>136.60</td>
<td>108.60</td>
<td>149.60</td>
<td>48.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>180.20</td>
<td>197.10</td>
<td>203.80</td>
<td>96.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: ANOVA of dynamic stability among all 3 warm-up groups with open and closed eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Open Eyes (Easy)</th>
<th>Close Eyes (Easy)</th>
<th>Open Eyes (Hard)</th>
<th>Close Eyes (Hard)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>Within Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of Squares</td>
<td>2.845</td>
<td>13.802</td>
<td>3.931</td>
<td>48.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Square</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>1.965</td>
<td>4.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>2.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of one-way ANOVA (Table 3) for the dynamic stability among different types of warm-up groups with open and close eyes are presented in the above table. It is observed from the table that there is no significant difference existed among active, passive and combined warm-up groups for open and close eyes with easy and hard stability at 0.05 significant levels.

Table 4: ANOVA of muscle strength among all 3 warm-up groups during extension and flexion with slow and fast speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extension (Slow)</th>
<th>Flexion (Slow)</th>
<th>Extension (Fast)</th>
<th>Flexion (Fast)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>Within Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of Squares</td>
<td>972.489</td>
<td>7845.848</td>
<td>142.977</td>
<td>3148.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Square</td>
<td>486.245</td>
<td>653.821</td>
<td>71.489</td>
<td>262.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above ANOVA Table 4 shows the results for the muscle strength (Peak-Torque) among different types of warm-up groups during extension and flexion. It is documented from the table that there is no significant difference among active, passive and combined warm-up groups for extension and flexion in both slow and fast speed with 0.05 significant levels.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of active, passive and combined warm-up upon the muscular strength and dynamic stability. The main findings revealed that there were no significant effects of any warm-up method on muscular strength and dynamic stability. Erkut, Gelen, and Sunar, (2017) said that both static and dynamic warm-up increases the performance of balancing. Warm-up usually thought to have positive effects on injury prevention for any sports activity. These results are similar to the results of Gogte, Srivastav, and Miyaru, (2017) they found that passive, active and combined types of warm-up have a similar effect on muscle performance and dynamic stability in recreational athletes. Ayala et al. (2017) also documented similar results.

To improve sports performance any types of warm-up methods could be used. Fletcher and Monte-Colombo (2010) suggested that the superior performance of the dynamic stretch and warm-up-only conditions compared to the static stretch condition is linked to increases in performance. Daneshjoo, Mokhtar, Rahnama, and Yusof, (2012) informed that static stretching and dynamic warm-up practices have positive effects on dynamic and static balance without any statistically significant differences between them. Kar and Banergee (2013) conclude that passive and active warm-up has an effect on motor performance with trained athletes in regards to no warm-up, but the findings are not significant with untrained athletes. The average means of sitting and reach performance is better with active and passive warm-up while the total performance is low with no warm-up by some subjects. Andrade et al. (2015) conclude from their study that there is improvement in slow stretch-shortening cycle muscle performance by the general, specific and combined warm-up, except specific warm-up that improves fast stretch-shortening muscle performance. Therefore, to improve fast stretch-shortening performance, specific stretch-shortening muscle exercise must be necessary for the warm-up program. Romero-Franco (2014) clarifies slightly in his study that the duration of different warm-up protocol effects on postural stability, which may be useful to injuries prevention during training. Leavey, Sandrey, and Dahmer, (2010) suggested that healthy male and female can improve dynamic postural control (evaluated by SEBT) through the six weeks combined exercise program to strength and balance. Judge, Lindsey, Underwood, and Winsemius, (1993) stated that the various specific exercises for strength and postural control components increase 17% mean displacement of the center of pressure in static balance in older women but there was not a significant difference. Ozengin, Yildirim, Baltac, and Masiulis, (2011), also
observed no significant effect on vertical jump performance after different warm-up protocols (general warm-up and warm-up with static stretching) in gymnasts. Faigenbaum, Bellucci, Bernieri, Bakker, and Hoorens, (2005) does not find any significant difference in flexibility by the following three types of warming up protocol. The result of that study reported that it might be desirable for children to execute moderately to high-intensity dynamic exercises before any physical activity that demands a high-power output.

The findings of this research were not confirmed by the studies of Daneshjoo et al., (2012) reported that active and passive warm-up programs enhance proprioception in the dynamic leg while dynamic balance revealed improvement as well as indicating significant difference with the control group. The static balance for opened and closed eyes and dynamic balance (SEBT) were increased in both groups. The active and passive warm-up program have been presented as improve in proprioception and balance. Nejati, Balarin, Gonzolas, and Neal, (2015) noticed that there was a significant effect on balance and agility performance with static and dynamic stretching warm-up as compare to no stretching warm-up. The static stretching warm-up had no influence on balance while comparing to no stretching, but it did effect on agility. The static versus dynamic warm-up group presented similar statistics but depend upon the effect size analysis, the static group had a smaller effect size than dynamic. Morales-Artacho, Lacourpaille, and Guilhem, (2017) suggested that the cycling performance does not have an acute superior effect on muscle stiffness with a combined warm-up, while muscle stiffness is reduced with an active warm-up. The time among warm-up and competition should be considered when optimizing the effects on muscle stiffness. The whole body warm-up on the treadmill increase aerobic capacity, enhance muscle performance during competition or any activity, it also has a positive effect on balance ability (Carmeli, Kessel, & Coleman, 2002). Woods, Bishop, and Jones, (2007) reported that muscle temperature increased as a result of general and specific warm-up activity, also affect the muscle performance by diminishing the muscle’s viscous resistance.

5. Conclusion

The findings showed that there were no significant effects of any warm-up method on muscular strength and dynamic stability. Warm-up usually thought to have positive effects on injury prevention for any sports activity. There is also a need to conduct more researches on the effect of the warm-up protocol on muscle performance and dynamic stability. Finally, better-controlled researches are also required to interpret the mechanisms responsible for changes in performance following any types of warm-up protocol for the various sports performance components, rather than replicating commonly used warm-up protocols.
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